arXiv:1608.04508v1 [quant-ph] 16 Aug 2016

Separation between quantum Lovász number and entanglement-assisted zero-error classical capacity

Xin Wang[1*] and Runyao Duan[1,2†]
[1] *Centre for Quantum Computation and Intelligent Systems (QCIS), Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology, University of Technology Sydney (UTS), NSW 2007, Australia and*
[2] *UTS-AMSS Joint Research Laboratory for Quantum Computation and Quantum Information Processing, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China*

Quantum Lovász number, as a natural generalization of the celebrated Lovász number in graph theory, is the best known efficiently computable upper bound of the entanglement-assisted zero-error classical capacity of a quantum channel. However, it remains an intriguing open problem whether this number always coincides with the entanglement-assisted zero-error capacity. Here we show that there is a strict gap between these two quantities for a class of explicitly constructed qutrit-to-qutrit channels. Our key approach is to show that for this class of channels both the one-shot zero-error communication capacity and the one-shot zero-error simulation cost in the presence of quantum no-signalling correlations (QNSC), a more broader class of resources than entanglement, are exactly four, while the quantum Lovász number is strictly larger than that. Interestingly, for this class of channels, the quantum fractional packing number is strictly larger than the QNSC-assisted or quantum feedback-assisted zero-error classical capacity. Note that these quantities are all equal for classical channels.

I. INTRODUCTION

A fundamental problem of information theory is to determine the capability of a communication channel for delivering messages from the sender to the receiver. While ordinary information theory focuses on sending messages with asymptotically vanishing errors [1], Shannon also investigated this problem in the zero-error setting and described the zero-error capacity of a channel as the maximum rate at which it can be used to transmit information with zero probability of error [2]. Zero-error information theory [2, 3] concerns asymptotic combinatorial problems, most of which are difficult and unsolved.

Recently the zero-error information theory has been studied in the quantum setting and many new phenomena were observed. One remarkable result is the super-activation of the zero-error classical/quantum capacities of quantum channels [4–8]. Another fantastic result is that, for some classical channels, entanglement can be used to improve the zero-error capacity [9, 10], while there is no such advantage for the the normal capacity [1]. Furthermore, there are more kinds of capacities when considering auxiliary resources, such as shared entanglement [4, 9–14], no-signalling correlations [9, 15], feedback [2, 16], etc. All of these capacities are only partially understood and the zero-error information theory of quantum channels seems more complex than that of classical channels.

To study the zero-error communication via quantum channels, the so-called "non-commutative graph theory" was introduced in [11]. The non-commutative graph (an object based on an operator system) associated with a quantum channel fully captures the zero-error communication properties of this channel [11], thus playing a similar role to confusability graph in the classical case. It is well-known that the zero-error capacity is extremely difficult to compute for both classical and quantum channels [17]. Nevertheless, the zero-error capacities of classical channels and quantum channels are upper bounded by the the celebrated Lovász number of a confusability graph [18] and the quantum Lovász number of a non-commutative graph [11] , respectively. Furthermore, the entanglement-assisted zero-error capacity of a classical channel is also upper-bounded by the Lovász number [11, 20], and this notable result can be generalized to quantum channels by using the quantum Lovász number [11].

A more general problem of channel simulation concerns how to use a channel $\mathcal{N}$ from Alice (A) to Bob (B) to simulate another channel $\mathcal{M}$ also from A to B [21]. Shannon's noisy channel coding theorem determines the capability of any noisy channel $\mathcal{N}$ to simulate a noiseless channel [1] and the reverse Shannon theorem was proved in [22]. The quantum reverse Shannon theorem was proved recently [23, 24], which states that any quantum channel can be simulated by an amount of classical communication equal to its entanglement-assisted capacity assisted with free entanglement. In

*Electronic address: xin.wang-8@student.uts.edu.au
†Electronic address: runyao.duan@uts.edu.au

the zero-error setting, there is a kind of reversibility between zero-error capacity and zero-error simulation cost in the presence of QNSC for classical channels [25]. More recently, the zero-error simulation cost of a quantum channel in the presence of QNSC was introduced in [15].

An intriguing open problem in zero-error information theory is whether the entanglement-assisted zero-error capacity always coincides with the quantum Lovász number for a classical or quantum channel, which is frequently mentioned in [10, 11, 20, 25–27]. If they are equal, it would imply that the entanglement-assisted zero-error capacity is additive while the unassisted case is not [28].

In this paper, we resolve the above open problem for quantum channels. To be specific, we construct a class of qutrit-to-qutrit channels for which the quantum Lovász number is strictly larger than the entanglement-assisted zero-error capacity. We utilize the one-shot QNSC-assisted zero-error capacity and the one-shot zero-error simulation cost to determine the QNSC-assisted zero-error capacity in this case, which is potentially larger than the entanglement-assisted zero-error capacity. An interesting fact is that this class of channels are reversible in a very strong sense that the one-shot zero-error capacity and the one-shot zero-error simulation cost in the presence of QNSC are identical. We then give a closed formula of the quantum Lovász number for this class of channels and show the a strict gap between quantum Lovász number and entanglement-assisted zero-error classical capacity. Furthermore, for this class of channels, we show that the quantum fractional packing number is strictly larger than the feedback-assisted or QNSC-assisted zero-error capacity, while these three quantities are equal to each other for any classical channel [25].

II. PRELIMINARIES

In the following we will frequently use symbols such as A (or A') and B (or B') to denote (finite-dimensional) Hilbert spaces associated with Alice and Bob, respectively. The set of linear operators over A is denoted by $\mathcal{L}(A)$. A quantum channel $\mathcal{N}$ from A to B is simply a completely positive and trace-preserving (CPTP) linear map from $\mathcal{L}(A)$ to $\mathcal{L}(B)$, with a Choi-Kraus operator sum representation $\mathcal{N}(\rho) = \sum_k E_k \rho E_k^\dagger$. where $\sum_k E_k^\dagger E_k = \mathbb{1}_A$. The Choi-Kraus operator space of $\mathcal{N}$ is

$$K = K(\mathcal{N}) \coloneqq \mathrm{span}\{E_k\}.$$

Such space is alternatively called "non-commutative bipartite graph" since it determines the zero-error capacity of a quantum channel in the presence of noiseless feedback [16], which plays a similar role to the bipartite graph of a classical channel. The Choi-Jamiołkowski matrix of $\mathcal{N} : \mathcal{L}(A') \to \mathcal{L}(B)$ is $J_{AB} = \sum_{ij} |i\rangle\langle j|_A \otimes \mathcal{N}(|i\rangle\langle j|_{A'}) = (\mathrm{id}_A \otimes \mathcal{N})|\Phi\rangle\langle\Phi|$, where A and A' are isomorphic Hilbert spaces with respective orthonormal basis $\{|i\rangle_A\}$ and $\{|j\rangle_{A'}\}$, $|\Phi\rangle = \sum_i |i\rangle_A |i\rangle_{A'}$ and id_A is the identity map. We denote P_{AB} as the projection onto the support of J_{AB}, which is the subspace $(\mathbb{1} \otimes K)|\Phi\rangle$. The non-commutative graph [11] of $\mathcal{N}$ is defined by the operator subspace

$$S \coloneqq K^\dagger K = \mathrm{span}\{E_j^\dagger E_k : j, k\} < \mathcal{L}(A),$$

where $S < \mathcal{L}(A)$ means that S is a subspace of $\mathcal{L}(A)$.

The one-shot zero-error capacity of a quantum channel $\mathcal{N}$ is the maximum number of inputs such that the receiver can perfectly distinguish the corresponding output states. The output states can be perfectly distinguished if and only if they are orthogonal. This one-shot zero-error capacity can be equivalently defined as the independence number $\alpha(S)$ of the non-commutative graph [11] of $\mathcal{N}$, i.e., the maximum size of a set of orthogonal unit vectors $\{|\phi_m\rangle : m = 1, ..., M\}$ such that

$$\forall m \neq m', |\phi_m\rangle\langle\phi'_m| \in S^\perp.$$

The zero-error capacity is given by regularization of $\alpha(S)$, i.e.,

$$C_0(\mathcal{N}) = C_0(S) = \sup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \alpha(S^{\otimes n}). \tag{1}$$

Throughout this paper, log denotes the binary logarithm. The sup in Eq. (1) can be replaced by lim based on the lemma about existence of limits in [29].

The entanglement-assisted independence number $\widetilde{\alpha}(S)$ [11] is motivated by the scenario where sender and receiver share entangled state beforehand and it quantifies the maximum number of distinguishable messages that can be sent via the channel $\mathcal{N}$ with graph S when shared entanglement is free. To be specific, $\widetilde{\alpha}(S)$ is the maximum integer M such that there exist Hilbert spaces A_0, B_0 and a state $\sigma \in S(A_0 \otimes B_0)$, and CPTP maps $\mathcal{E}_m : \mathcal{L}(A_0) \to \mathcal{L}(A) (m = 1, ..., N)$

such that the N output states $\rho_m = (\mathcal{N} \circ \mathcal{E}_m \otimes \mathrm{id}_{B_0})\sigma$ are orthogonal. The entanglement-assisted zero-error capacity of S is given by regularization of $\widetilde{\alpha}(S)$, i.e.,

$$C_{0E}(\mathcal{N}) = C_{0E}(S) = \sup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \widetilde{\alpha}(S^{\otimes n}). \tag{2}$$

For any non-commutative graph $S < \mathcal{L}(A)$, the quantum Lovász number $\widetilde{\vartheta}(S)$ was introduced as a quantum analog of the celebrated Lovász number in [11]. It can be formalized by semidefinite programming (SDP) [11] as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\vartheta}(S) = \max\ & \langle\Phi|(\mathbb{1} \otimes \rho + T)|\Phi\rangle \\ \text{s.t.}\ \ & T \in S^{\perp} \otimes \mathcal{L}(A'), \quad \mathrm{Tr}\,\rho = 1, \\ & \mathbb{1} \otimes \rho + T \geq 0, \quad \rho \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

SDP can be solved by polynomial-time algorithms [19, 30] and more details about the applications of SDP in quantum information theory can be found in [31]. The dual SDP of $\widetilde{\vartheta}(S)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\vartheta}(S) = \min\ & \|\mathrm{Tr}_A\, Y\|_{\infty} \\ \text{s.t.}\ \ & Y \in S \otimes \mathcal{L}(A'), \quad Y \geq |\Phi\rangle\langle\Phi|. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

The operator norm $\|R\|_{\infty}$ is defined as the maximum eigenvalue of $\sqrt{R^{\dagger}R}$. By strong duality, the optimal values of the primal and the dual SDPs of $\widetilde{\vartheta}(S)$ coincide. Furthermore, $\widetilde{\vartheta}(S)$ was proved to be an upper bound of $C_{0E}(S)$ [11], i.e.,

$$C_0(S) \leq C_{0E}(S) \leq \widetilde{\vartheta}(S). \tag{5}$$

Moreover, for a quantum channel $\mathcal{N}$ with non-commutative graph S, the quantum Lovász number of $\mathcal{N}$ is naturally given by the $\widetilde{\vartheta}(S)$, i.e.,

$$\widetilde{\vartheta}(\mathcal{N}) = \widetilde{\vartheta}(S).$$

The no-signalling correlations arises in the research of the relativistic causality of quantum operations [32–35] and Cubitt et al. [25] first introduced classical no-signalling correlations into the zero-error communication via classical channels and proved that the fractional packing number of the bipartite graph induced by the channel equals to the zero-error capacity of the channel. Recently, quantum no-signalling correlations (QNSC) were introduced into the zero-error communication via quantum channels in [15] and the one-shot QNSC-assisted zero-error capacity was formulated as the following SDP:

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon(\mathcal{N}) = \Upsilon(K) = \max\ & \mathrm{Tr}\, R_A \\ \text{s.t.}\ \ & 0 \leq U_{AB} \leq R_A \otimes \mathbb{1}_B, \\ & \mathrm{Tr}_A\, U_{AB} = \mathbb{1}_B, \\ & \mathrm{Tr}\, P_{AB}(R_A \otimes \mathbb{1}_B - U_{AB}) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where P_{AB} denotes the projection onto $(\mathbb{1} \otimes K)|\Phi\rangle$. The asymptotic QNSC-assisted zero-error capacity is given by the regularization:

$$C_{0,NS}(\mathcal{N}) = C_{0,NS}(K) = \sup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \Upsilon(K^{\otimes n}). \tag{7}$$

A remarkable feature of QNSC-assisted zero-error capacity is that one bit noiseless communication can fully activate any classical-quantum channel to achieve its asymptotic capacity [36].

The zero-error simulation cost of a quantum channel and the one-shot zero-error simulation cost of a non-commutative bipartite graph in the presence of QNSC were introduced in [15] and formalized as SDPs. To be specific, for the quantum channel $\mathcal{N}$ with Choi-Jamiołkowski matrix J_{AB}, the QNSC-assisted zero-error simulation cost of $\mathcal{N}$ is given by

$$S_{0,NS}(\mathcal{N}) = -H_{\min}(A|B)_{J_{AB}} := \log \Sigma(\mathcal{N}), \tag{8}$$

where

$$\Sigma(\mathcal{N}) = \min \mathrm{Tr}\, T_B, \ \text{s.t.}\ J_{AB} \leq \mathbb{1}_A \otimes T_B, \tag{9}$$

and $H_{\min}(A|B)_{J_{AB}}$ is the so-called conditional min-entropy [37, 38]. By the fact that the conditional min-entropy is additive [37], the asymptotic QNSC-assisted zero-error simulation cost is given by

$$S_{0,NS}(\mathcal{N}) = \log \Sigma(\mathcal{N}). \tag{10}$$

Furthermore, noting that the QNSC assistance contains the entanglement assistance, the capacities and simulation cost of a quantum channel introduced above obey the following inequality:

$$C_0 \le C_{0E} \le C_{0,NS} \le C_E \le S_{0,NS}, \tag{11}$$

where C_E is the entanglement-assisted classical capacity [22].

III. GAP BETWEEN QUANTUM LOVÁSZ NUMBER AND ENTANGLEMENT-ASSISTED ZERO-ERROR CAPACITY

In this section, we will show the gap between quantum Lovász number and entanglement-assisted zero-error capacity. The difficulty in comparing C_{0E} and the quantum Lovász number is that there are few channels whose entanglement-assisted zero-error capacity is known. In fact, C_{0E} is even not known to be computable. The problem whether there exists a gap between them has remained open for almost six years.

Our approach to the above problem is to construct a special class of channels and considering the QNSC-assisted zero-error capacity, which is potentially larger than the entanglement-assisted case. To be specific, the class of channels we use is $\mathcal{N}_\alpha(\rho) = E_\alpha \rho E_\alpha^\dagger + D_\alpha \rho D_\alpha^\dagger$ $(0 < \alpha \le \pi/4)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} E_\alpha &= \sin\alpha |0\rangle\langle 1| + |1\rangle\langle 2|, \\ D_\alpha &= \cos\alpha |2\rangle\langle 1| + |1\rangle\langle 0|. \end{aligned}$$

The Choi-Jamiołkowski matrix of $\mathcal{N}_\alpha$ is given by

$$J_\alpha = (1+\sin^2\alpha)|u_\alpha\rangle\langle u_\alpha| + (1+\cos^2\alpha)|v_\alpha\rangle\langle v_\alpha|,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} |u_\alpha\rangle &= \frac{\sin\alpha}{\sqrt{1+\sin^2\alpha}}|10\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\sin^2\alpha}}|21\rangle, \\ |v_\alpha\rangle &= \frac{\cos\alpha}{\sqrt{1+\cos^2\alpha}}|12\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\cos^2\alpha}}|01\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Then the projection onto the support of J_α is

$$P_\alpha = |u_\alpha\rangle\langle u_\alpha| + |v_\alpha\rangle\langle v_\alpha|. \tag{12}$$

We first prove that both QNSC-assisted zero-error capacity and simulation cost of $\mathcal{N}_\alpha$ are exactly two bits.

Proposition 1 *For the channel* $\mathcal{N}_\alpha$ $(0 < \alpha \le \pi/4)$,

$$C_{0,NS}(\mathcal{N}_\alpha) = C_E(\mathcal{N}_\alpha) = S_{0,NS}(\mathcal{N}_\alpha) = 2.$$

Proof On one hand, suppose that $R_A = 2(\cos^2\alpha|0\rangle\langle 0| + |1\rangle\langle 1| + \sin^2\alpha|2\rangle\langle 2|)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} U_{AB} = {} & \cos^2\alpha|01\rangle\langle 01| + \sin^2|21\rangle\langle 21| + |10\rangle\langle 10| + |12\rangle\langle 12| \\ & + \sin\alpha(|10\rangle\langle 21| + |21\rangle\langle 10|) + \cos\alpha(|01\rangle\langle 12| + |12\rangle\langle 01|). \end{aligned}$$

One can simply check that $R_A \otimes \mathbb{1}_B - U_{AB} \ge 0$, $\mathrm{Tr}_A U_{AB} = \mathbb{1}_B$ and $P_\alpha(R_A \otimes \mathbb{1}_B - U_{AB}) = 0$. Therefore, $\{R_A, U_{AB}\}$ is a feasible solution to SDP (6) of $\Upsilon(\mathcal{N}_\alpha)$, which means that

$$C_{0,NS}(\mathcal{N}_\alpha) \ge \log \Upsilon(\mathcal{N}_\alpha) \ge \log \mathrm{Tr}\, R_A = 2. \tag{13}$$

On the other hand, suppose that

$$T_B = 2(\sin^2\alpha|0\rangle\langle 0| + |1\rangle\langle 1| + \cos^2\alpha|2\rangle\langle 2|),$$

and it is easy to check that $\mathbb{1} \otimes T_B - J_\alpha \geq 0$. Thus, T_B is a feasible solution to SDP (9) of $\Sigma(\mathcal{N}_\alpha)$, which means that

$$S_{0,NS}(\mathcal{N}_\alpha) \leq \log \Sigma(\mathcal{N}_\alpha) \leq \log \mathrm{Tr}\, T_B = 2. \tag{14}$$

Finally, combining Eq. (13), Eq. (14) and Eq. (11), it is clear that

$$C_{0,NS}(\mathcal{N}_\alpha) = C_E(\mathcal{N}_\alpha) = S_{0,NS}(\mathcal{N}_\alpha) = 2.$$

□

We then show the exact value of the quantum Lovász number of $\mathcal{N}_\alpha$.

Proposition 2 *For the channel* $\mathcal{N}_\alpha$ $(0 < \alpha \leq \pi/4)$,

$$\widetilde{\vartheta}(\mathcal{N}_\alpha) = 2 + \cos^2\alpha + \cos^{-2}\alpha > 4. \tag{15}$$

Proof We will first construct a quantum state ρ and an operator $T \in S^\perp \otimes \mathcal{L}(A')$ such that $\mathbb{1} \otimes \rho + T$ is positive semidefinite. Then, we use the primal SDP of $\widetilde{\vartheta}(\mathcal{N}_\alpha)$ to obtain the lower bound of $\widetilde{\vartheta}(\mathcal{N}_\alpha)$.

The non-commutative graph of $\mathcal{N}_\alpha$ is $S = \mathrm{span}\{F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4\}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &= |0\rangle\langle 0| + \cos^2\alpha |1\rangle\langle 1|, \\ F_2 &= \sin^2\alpha |1\rangle\langle 1| + |2\rangle\langle 2|, \\ F_3 &= |0\rangle\langle 2| \text{ and } F_4 = |2\rangle\langle 0|. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that

$$\rho = \frac{\cos^2\alpha}{1+\cos^2\alpha}|0\rangle\langle 0| + \frac{1}{1+\cos^2\alpha}|1\rangle\langle 1|$$

and $T = T_1 \otimes T_2 + R$, where

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= \frac{1}{1+\cos^2\alpha}\left(|0\rangle\langle 0| - \frac{1}{\cos^2\alpha}|1\rangle\langle 1| + \frac{\sin^2\alpha}{\cos^2\alpha}|2\rangle\langle 2|\right), \\ T_2 &= \cos^4\alpha |0\rangle\langle 0| - |1\rangle\langle 1|, \;\; R = |00\rangle\langle 11| + |11\rangle\langle 00|. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

It is clear that $\rho \geq 0$ and $\mathrm{Tr}\,\rho = 1$. Also, it is easy to see that for any matrix $M \in \mathcal{L}(A')$ and $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$,

$$\mathrm{Tr}\, R(F_j \otimes M) = 0. \tag{17}$$

Meanwhile, noticing that $\mathrm{Tr}(T_1 F_j) = 0$ for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, we have

$$T = T_1 \otimes T_2 + R \in S^\perp \otimes \mathcal{L}(A').$$

Moreover, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{1} \otimes \rho + T =& \cos^2\alpha |00\rangle\langle 00| + \frac{1}{\cos^2\alpha}|11\rangle\langle 11| + |00\rangle\langle 11| \\ &+ |11\rangle\langle 00| + \frac{\cos^2\alpha - \cos^4\alpha}{1+\cos^2\alpha}|20\rangle\langle 20| \\ &+ \frac{2\cos^2 - 1}{(1+\cos^2\alpha)\cos^2\alpha}|21\rangle\langle 21| \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Then, $\{\rho, T\}$ is a feasible solution to primal SDP (3) of $\widetilde{\vartheta}(\mathcal{N}_\alpha)$. Hence, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\vartheta}(\mathcal{N}_\alpha) &\geq \mathrm{Tr}[|\Phi\rangle\langle\Phi|(\mathbb{1} \otimes \rho + T)] \\ &= \mathrm{Tr}[|\Phi\rangle\langle\Phi|(\mathbb{1} \otimes \rho + T_1 \otimes T_2 + R_1)] \\ &= 2 + \cos^2\alpha + \cos^{-2}\alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

On the other hand, we can find a feasible solution to the dual SDP (4) of $\widetilde{\vartheta}(\mathcal{N}_\alpha)$. It is easy to see that

$$S^\perp = \mathrm{span}\{\mathrm{M}_1, \mathrm{M}_2, \mathrm{M}_3, \mathrm{M}_4, \mathrm{M}_5\},$$

where $M_1 = |0\rangle\langle 1|$, $M_2 = |1\rangle\langle 0|$, $M_3 = |1\rangle\langle 2|$, $M_4 = |2\rangle\langle 1|$ and $M_5 = |0\rangle\langle 0| - \cos^{-2}\alpha|1\rangle\langle 1| + \tan^2\alpha|2\rangle\langle 2|$. Let us choose

$$Y = Y_1 \otimes (|0\rangle\langle 0| + |1\rangle\langle 1|) + Y_2 \otimes |2\rangle\langle 2| + \frac{1+\cos^2\alpha}{\cos^2\alpha} Y_3 \tag{20}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} Y_1 =& (1+\cos^2\alpha)\cos^{-2}\alpha|0\rangle\langle 0| + (1+\cos^2\alpha)|1\rangle\langle 1|, \\ Y_2 =& (2-\cos^{-2}\alpha)|0\rangle\langle 0| + (\cos^{-2}\alpha - \sin^2\alpha)|1\rangle\langle 1| \\ &+ (1+\cos^2\alpha)\cos^{-2}\alpha|2\rangle\langle 2|, \\ Y_3 =& |00\rangle\langle 22| + |22\rangle\langle 00|. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that for any matrix $V \in \mathcal{L}(A')$ and $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, we have that

$$\mathrm{Tr}\, Y_3 (M_j \otimes V) = 0. \tag{21}$$

Meanwhile, since $\mathrm{Tr}(Y_k M_j) = 0$ for $k = 1, 2$ and $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} Y =& Y_1 \otimes (|0\rangle\langle 0| + |1\rangle\langle 1|) + Y_2 \otimes |2\rangle\langle 2| + \frac{1+\cos^2\alpha}{\cos^2\alpha} Y_3 \\ \in& S \otimes \mathcal{L}(A'). \end{aligned}$$

It is also easy to check that $Y - |\Phi\rangle\langle\Phi| \geq 0$. Thus, Y is a feasible solution to SDP (4) of $\widetilde{\vartheta}(\mathcal{N}_\alpha)$. Furthermore, one can simply calculate that

$$\mathrm{Tr}_A\, Y = (2 + \cos^2\alpha + \cos^{-2}\alpha)\mathbb{1}_B, \tag{22}$$

Therefore,

$$\widetilde{\vartheta}(\mathcal{N}_\alpha) \leq \| \mathrm{Tr}_A\, Y \|_\infty = 2 + \cos^2\alpha + \cos^{-2}\alpha. \tag{23}$$

Finally, combining Eq. (19) and Eq. (23), we can conclude that

$$\widetilde{\vartheta}(\mathcal{N}_\alpha) = 2 + \cos^2\alpha + \cos^{-2}\alpha.$$

□

Now we are able to show a separation between $\log\widetilde{\vartheta}(\mathcal{N}_\alpha)$ and $C_{0E}(\mathcal{N}_\alpha)$.

Theorem 3 *For the channel $\mathcal{N}_\alpha$ $(0 < \alpha \leq \pi/4)$, the quantum Lovász number is strictly larger than the entanglement-assisted zero-error capacity (or even with quantum no-signalling assistance), i.e.,*

$$\log\widetilde{\vartheta}(\mathcal{N}_\alpha) > C_{0,NS}(\mathcal{N}_\alpha) \geq C_{0E}(\mathcal{N}_\alpha). \tag{24}$$

Proof It is easy to see this result from Proposition 1 and Proposition 2. To be specific,

$$\begin{aligned} \log\widetilde{\vartheta}(\mathcal{N}_\alpha) &= \log(2 + \cos^2\alpha + \cos^{-2}\alpha) \\ &> 2 = C_{0,NS}(\mathcal{N}_\alpha) \geq C_{0E}(\mathcal{N}_\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

□

IV. GAP BETWEEN QUANTUM FRACTIONAL PACKING NUMBER AND FEEDBACK-ASSISTED OR QNSC-ASSISTED ZERO-ERROR CAPACITY

A classical channel $\mathcal{N} = (X, p(y|x), Y)$ naturally induces a bipartite graph $\Gamma(\mathcal{N}) = (X, E, Y)$, where X and Y are the input and output alphabets, respectively. And $E \subset X \times Y$ is the set of edges such that $(x, y) \in E$ if and only if the probability $p(y|x)$ is positive. The non-commutative bipartite graph in this case is given by

$$K = \mathrm{span}\{|y\rangle\langle x| : (x, y) \text{ is an edge in } \Gamma\}.$$

Shannon first introduced the feedback-assisted zero-error capacity [2]. To be precise, his model has noiseless instantaneous feedback of the channel output back to the sender and requires some arbitrarily small rate of forward noiseless communication. For classical channels with positive zero-error capacity, he showed that the feedback-assisted zero-error capacity C_{0F} of a classical channel $\mathcal{N}$ is given by the fractional packing number of its bipartite graph [2]:

$$\alpha^*(\Gamma) = \max \sum_x v_x \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \sum_x v_x p(y|x) \leq 1 \forall y, 0 \leq v_x \leq 1 \forall x.$$

For any classical bipartite graph, the fractional packing number also gives the QNSC-assisted zero-error classical capacity and simulation cost [25], i.e.,

$$C_{0,NS}(K) = S_{0,NS}(K) = \log \alpha^*(\Gamma).$$

The quantum generalization of fractional packing number was suggested by Harrow as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{A}(K) &= \max \mathrm{Tr}\, R_A \text{ s.t. } 0 \leq R_A, \mathrm{Tr}_A\, P_{AB}(R_A \otimes \mathbb{1}_B) \leq \mathbb{1}_B, \\ &= \min \mathrm{Tr}\, T_B \text{ s.t. } 0 \leq T_B, \mathrm{Tr}_B\, P_{AB}(\mathbb{1}_A \otimes T_B) \geq \mathbb{1}_A. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

This quantum fractional packing number $\mathsf{A}(K)$ has nice mathematical properties such as additivity under tensor product [15].

For any bipartite graph Γ, quantum fractional packing number also reduces to the fractional packing number, i.e.,

$$\mathsf{A}(K) = \alpha^*(\Gamma).$$

Furthermore, for a classical-quantum channel with non-commutative bipartite graph K, it also holds that [15]

$$C_{0,NS}(K) = \log \mathsf{A}(K).$$

However, if we consider more general quantum channels, this quantum fractional packing number will exceed the QNSC-assisted zero-error capacity as well as the feedback-assisted zero-error capacity. An example is the class of channels $\mathcal{N}_\alpha$ and the proof is in the following Proposition 5. For $\mathcal{N}_\alpha$, it is easy to see that the set of linear operators $\{E_i^\dagger E_j\}$ is linearly independent, which means that $\mathcal{N}_\alpha$ is an extremal channel [39]. Thus, its non-commutative bipartite graph K_α is an extremal graph [15], which means that there can only be a unique channel $\mathcal{N}$ such that $K(\mathcal{N}) = K_\alpha$.

For a general quantum channel, the feedback-assisted zero-error capacity depends only on the non-commutative bipartite graph and is always smaller than or equal to the entanglement-assisted classical capacity [16], i.e.,

$$C_{0F}(K) \leq C_{\mathrm{minE}}(K), \tag{26}$$

where $C_{\mathrm{minE}}(K)$ is defined by

$$C_{\mathrm{minE}}(K) := \min\{C_E(\mathcal{N}) : K(\mathcal{N}) < K\}.$$

Considering the fact that $C_{0,NS}(K) \leq C_{\mathrm{minE}}(K) \leq S_{0,NS}(K)$ [16], it is easy to see that $C_{\mathrm{minE}}(K_\alpha)$ is exactly two bits from Proposition 1.

Lemma 4 *For non-commutative bipartite graph K_α $(0 < \alpha \leq \pi/4)$, the quantum fractional packing number is given by*

$$\mathsf{A}(K_\alpha) = 2 + \cos^2 \alpha + \cos^{-2} \alpha. \tag{27}$$

Proof Suppose that $R_A = (2-\sin^2\alpha)|0\rangle\langle 0| + x|1\rangle\langle 1|$, then

$$\mathrm{Tr}_A\, P_\alpha(R_A \otimes \mathbb{1}_B) = \frac{x\sin^2\alpha}{1+\sin^2\alpha}|0\rangle\langle 0| + |1\rangle\langle 1| + \frac{x\cos^2\alpha}{1+\cos^2\alpha}|2\rangle\langle 2|.$$

When $x = 1+\cos^{-2}\alpha$, it is clear that $\mathrm{Tr}_A\, P_\alpha(R_A\otimes\mathbb{1}_B) \le \mathbb{1}_B$. Therefore, R_A is a feasible solution to the primal SDP of $\mathsf{A}(\mathcal{N}_\alpha)$, which means that

$$\mathsf{A}(\mathcal{N}_\alpha) \ge \mathrm{Tr}\, R_A = 2+\cos^2\alpha+\cos^{-2}\alpha.$$

Similarly, it is easy to check that $T_B = (2-\sin^2\alpha)|1\rangle\langle 1| + (1+\cos^{-2}\alpha)|2\rangle\langle 2|$ is a feasible solution to the dual SDP of $\mathsf{A}(\mathcal{N}_\alpha)$. Therefore,

$$\mathsf{A}(\mathcal{N}_\alpha) \le \mathrm{Tr}\, T_B = 2+\cos^2\alpha+\cos^{-2}\alpha.$$

Hence, we have that $\mathsf{A}(\mathcal{N}_\alpha) = 2+\cos^2\alpha+\cos^{-2}\alpha$. □

Now, we are able to show the separations.

Proposition 5 *For non-commutative bipartite graph K_α $(0<\alpha\le\pi/4)$, we have that*

$$C_{0F}(K_\alpha) < \log \mathsf{A}(K_\alpha),$$
$$C_{0,NS}(K_\alpha) < \log \mathsf{A}(K_\alpha).$$

Proof For general non-commutative bipartite graph K, it holds that $C_{0F}(K) \le C_{\min E}(K)$ [16]. Then, by Proposition 1 and Lemma 4, we have

$$C_{0F}(K_\alpha) \le C_{\min E}(K_\alpha) = 2 < \log \mathsf{A}(K_\alpha).$$

From Proposition 1 and Lemma 4, it is also clear that $C_{0,NS}(K_\alpha) < \log \mathsf{A}(K_\alpha)$. □

V. DISCUSSIONS

Interestingly, for $\mathcal{N}_\alpha$, the quantum fractional packing number is equal to the quantum Lovász number. Let us recall that remarkable fact that the Lovász number of a classical graph G has an operational interpretation [15] as

$$\vartheta(G) = \min\{\mathsf{A}(K) : K^\dagger K < S_G\},$$

where the minimization is over classical-quantum graphs K and S_G is non-commutative graph associated with G. A natural and interesting question is that for the non-commutative graph S, do we have

$$\widetilde{\vartheta}(S) = \min\{\mathsf{A}(K) : K^\dagger K < S\}?$$

The non-commutative bipartite graph of $\mathcal{N}_\alpha$ might be such an interesting example since Proposition 2 and Lemma 4 imply that $\widetilde{\vartheta}(\mathcal{N}_\alpha) = \mathsf{A}(K_\alpha)$.

It remains unknown whether Lovász number coincides with C_{0E} for every classical channel. For confusability graph G, a variant of Lovász number called Schrijver number [40, 41] was proved to be a tighter upper bound on the entanglement-assisted independence number than Lovász number [26] . However, it remains unknown whether Schrijver number will converge to Lovász number in the asymptotic setting, and a gap between the regularized Schrijver number and Lovász number would imply a separation between $C_{0E}(G)$ and $\vartheta(G)$. And it is also interesting to study how to estimate the regularization of a sequence of semidefinite programs, and perhaps people can borrow some ideas from [15].

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have shown that there is a separation between quantum Lovász number and entanglement-assisted zero-error classical capacity. We have explicitly exhibited a class of quantum channels for which the quantum Lovász number is strictly larger than the entanglement-assisted zero-error capacity. This resolves an open problem in zero-error quantum information theory. In particular, we have obtained the reversibility of these channels in the zero-error communication and simulation setting when assisted with quantum no-signalling correlations.

For classical channels with positive zero-error capacity, it is known that the feedback-assisted or QNSC-assisted zero-error capacity are both equal to the fractional packing number. In contrast, for quantum channels, we show that the feedback-assisted or the QNSC-assisted zero-error capacity is not given by the quantum fractional packing number.

Acknowledgment

We would like to thank Andreas Winter for helpful suggestions. A preliminary version of this paper was accepted as a contributed talk at the 16th Asian Quantum Information Science Conference (AQIS'16). Thanks were also given to the referees of AQIS'16 for useful comments which improved the presentation of this paper. This work was partly supported by the Australian Research Council under Grant Nos. DP120103776 and FT120100449.

[1] C. E. Shannon, "A mathematical theory of communication," *ACM SIGMOBILE Mob. Comput. Commun. Rev.*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 3-55, 1948.
[2] C. E. Shannon, "The zero error capacity of a noisy channel," *IRE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 8-19, 1956.
[3] J. Körner and A. Orlitsky, "Zero-error information theory," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 44, no. 6, pp. 2207-2229, 1998.
[4] R. Duan and Y. Shi, "Entanglement between two uses of a noisy multipartite quantum channel enables perfect transmission of classical information," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 101, no. 2, p. 20501, 2008.
[5] R. Duan, "Super-activation of zero-error capacity of noisy quantum channels," *arXiv preprint arXiv:0906.2527*, 2009.
[6] T. S. Cubitt, J. Chen, and A. W. Harrow, "Superactivation of the asymptotic zero-error classical capacity of a quantum channel," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 57, no. 12, pp. 8114-8126, 2011.
[7] T. S. Cubitt and G. Smith, "An extreme form of superactivation for quantum zero-error capacities," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 58, no. 3, pp. 1953-1961, 2012.
[8] M. E. Shirokov and T. Shulman, "On superactivation of zero-error capacities and reversibility of a quantum channel," *Commun. Math. Phys.*, vol. 335, no. 3, pp. 1159-1179, 2015.
[9] T. S. Cubitt, D. Leung, W. Matthews, and A. Winter, "Improving Zero-Error Classical Communication with Entanglement," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 104, no. 23, p. 230503, 2010.
[10] D. Leung, L. Mančinska, W. Matthews, M. Ozols, and A. Roy, "Entanglement can Increase Asymptotic Rates of Zero-Error Classical Communication over Classical Channels," *Commun. Math. Phys.*, vol. 311, no. 1, pp. 97-111, 2012.
[11] R. Duan, S. Severini, and A. Winter, "Zero-error communication via quantum channels, noncommutative graphs, and a quantum Lovász number," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 59, no. 2, pp. 1164-1174, 2013.
[12] J. Briët, H. Buhrman, M. Laurent, T. Piovesan, and G. Scarpa, "Entanglement-assisted zero-error source-channel coding," IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 61, no. 2, pp. 1124-1138, 2015.
[13] T. Piovesan, G. Scarpa, and C. Schaffner, "Multiparty Zero-Error Classical Channel Coding With Entanglement," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 61, no. 2, pp. 1113-1123, 2015.
[14] D. Stahlke, "Quantum zero-error source-channel coding and non-commutative graph theory," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 62, no. 1, pp. 554-577, 2016.
[15] R. Duan and A. Winter, "No-Signalling-Assisted Zero-Error Capacity of Quantum Channels and an Information Theoretic Interpretation of the Lovász Number," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 62, no. 2, pp. 891-914, 2016.
[16] R. Duan, S. Severini, and A. Winter, "On zero-error communication via quantum channels in the presence of noiseless feedback," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. PP, no. 99, pp. 1, 2016.
[17] S. Beigi and P. W. Shor, "On the complexity of computing zero-error and Holevo capacity of quantum channels," *arXiv preprint arXiv:0709.2090*, 2007.
[18] L. Lovász, "On the Shannon capacity of a graph," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 1-7, 1979.
[19] L. Vandenberghe and S. Boyd, "Semidefinite programming," *SIAM Rev.*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 49-95, 1996.
[20] S. Beigi, "Entanglement-assisted zero-error capacity is upper-bounded by the Lovász ϑ function," *Phys. Rev. A*, vol. 82, no. 1, p. 10303, 2010.
[21] D. Kretschmann and R. F. Werner, "Tema con variazioni: Quantum channel capacity," *New J. Phys.*, vol. 6, 2004.
[22] C. H. Bennett, P. W. Shor, J. A. Smolin, and A. V Thapliyal, "Entanglement-assisted capacity of a quantum channel and the reverse Shannon theorem," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 48, no. 10, pp. 2637-2655, 2002.
[23] C. H. Bennett, I. Devetak, A. W. Harrow, P. W. Shor, and A. Winter, "The Quantum Reverse Shannon Theorem and Resource Tradeoffs for Simulating Quantum Channels," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 60, no. 5, pp. 2926-2959, 2014.
[24] M. Berta, M. Christandl, and R. Renner, "The quantum reverse Shannon theorem based on one-shot information theory," *Commun. Math. Phys.*, vol. 306, no. 3, pp. 579-615, 2011.
[25] T. S. Cubitt, D. Leung, W. Matthews, and A. Winter, "Zero-Error Channel Capacity and Simulation Assisted by Non-Local Correlations," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 57, no. 8, pp. 5509-5523, 2011.
[26] T. Cubitt, L. Mančinska, D. E. Roberson, S. Severini, D. Stahlke, and A. Winter, "Bounds on Entanglement-Assisted Source-Channel Coding via the Lovász Number and Its Variants," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 60, no. 11, pp. 7330-7344, 2014.
[27] L. Mančinska, G. Scarpa, and S. Severini, "New Separations in Zero-Error Channel Capacity Through Projective Kochen-Specker Sets and Quantum Coloring," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 59, no. 6, pp. 4025-4032, 2013.
[28] N. Alon, "The Shannon capacity of a union," *Combinatorica*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 301-310, 1998.
[29] H. Barnum, M. A. Nielsen, and B. Schumacher, "Information transmission through a noisy quantum channel," *Phys. Rev. A*, vol. 57, no. 6, p. 4153, 1998.
[30] L. G. Khachiyan, "Polynomial algorithms in linear programming," *USSR Comput. Math. Math. Phys.*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp.

53-72, 1980.
[31] J. Watrous, Theory of quantum information. University of Waterloo, 2011.
[32] D. Beckman, D. Gottesman, M. A. Nielsen, and J. Preskill, "Causal and localizable quantum operations," *Phys. Rev. A*, vol. 64, no. 5, p. 52309, 2001.
[33] T. Eggeling, D. Schlingemann, and R. F. Werner, "Semicausal operations are semilocalizable," *Europhys. Lett.*, vol. 57, no. 6, p. 782, 2002.
[34] M. Piani, M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, and R. Horodecki, "Properties of quantum nonsignaling boxes," *Phys. Rev. A*, vol. 74, no. 1, p. 12305, 2006.
[35] O. Oreshkov, F. Costa, and C. Brukner, "Quantum correlations with no causal order," *Nat. Commun.*, vol. 3, p. 1092, 2012.
[36] R. Duan and X. Wang, "Activated zero-error classical capacity of quantum channels in the presence of quantum no-signalling correlations," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1510.05437*, 2015.
[37] R. König, R. Renner, and C. Schaffner, "The operational meaning of min-and max-entropy," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 55, no. 9, pp. 4337-4347, 2009.
[38] M. Tomamichel, "A framework for non-asymptotic quantum information theory," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1203.2142*, 2012.
[39] M.-D. Choi, "Completely positive linear maps on complex matrices," *Linear Algebra Appl.*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 285-290, 1975.
[40] A. Schrijver, "A comparison of the Delsarte and Lovász bounds," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 425-429, 1979.
[41] R. J. McEliece, E. R. Rodemich, and H. C. Rumsey Jr, "The Lovász bound and some generalizations," *J. Comb. Inform. Syst. Sci*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 134-152, 1978.